



BEFORE THE DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION  
OF THE SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

IN THE MATTER OF A MEMBER )  
OF THE STATE BAR OF ARIZONA, ) No. 98-2215  
)  
)  
ANTHONY R. LOPEZ, JR., )  
Bar No. 015880 ) DISCIPLINARY COMMISSION  
REPORT  
RESPONDENT. )

This matter came before the Disciplinary Commission of the Supreme Court of Arizona on December 14, 2002, pursuant to Rule 56(a), Ariz. R. S. Ct., for consideration of the Tender of Admissions and Agreement for Discipline by Consent (Agreement) and Joint Memorandum in support of Agreement for Discipline by Consent (Joint Memorandum), filed November 7, 2002, providing for a censure, two (2) years of probation with the Law Office Management Assistance Program (LOMAP, and costs of these disciplinary proceedings.

Decision

The eight<sup>1</sup> members of the Commission unanimously recommend accepting and incorporating by reference the Agreement and Joint Memorandum providing for a censure, two (2) years of probation (LOMAP), and costs of these disciplinary proceedings. The terms of probation are as follows:

<sup>1</sup> Commission Gutierrez did not participate in these proceedings.

Terms of Probation

- 1                   1. Respondent is not currently engaged in the practice of law in  
2                   Arizona. Therefore, probation is deferred until such time  
3                   Respondent resumes the practice of law in Arizona. Should  
4                   Respondent resume the practice of law in Arizona, he shall  
5                   advise Bar Counsel within thirty (30) days of the date in which  
6                   he resumes practice to submit to a LOMAP audit. Respondent  
7                   shall be required to follow the recommendations made  
8                   pursuant to the LOMAP audit. A Memorandum of  
9                   Understanding shall be incorporated herein by this reference.  
10                  2. In the event Respondent fails to comply with any of the  
11                  foregoing terms, and information thereof is received by the  
12                  State Bar, bar counsel shall file with the Hearing Officer a  
13                  Notice of Non-Compliance. The Hearing Officer shall  
14                  conduct a hearing at the earliest possible date, but in no event  
15                  less than thirty (30) days following receipt of notice, to  
16                  determine whether a condition of probation has been breached  
17                  and, if so, to recommend an appropriate sanction.  
18                  3. If there is an allegation that Respondent failed to comply with  
19                  any of the foregoing terms, the burden of proof shall be on the  
20                  State Bar to prove non-compliance by a preponderance of the  
21                  evidence.

22                   RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2 day of January 2008.

23                   PETER CAHILL

24                   Peter J. Cahill, Chair  
25                   Disciplinary Commission

26                   Original filed with the Disciplinary Clerk  
this 2 day of January 2008.

Copy of the foregoing mailed  
this 2 day of January 2008, to:

Mark I. Harrison  
Respondent's Counsel  
Two North Central, Suite 2200  
Phoenix, AZ 85004-4406

Copy of the foregoing hand-delivered  
this 9 day of January, 2003.

Maret Vessella  
Deputy Chief Bar Counsel  
State Bar of Arizona  
111 West Monroe, Suite 1800  
Phoenix, AZ 85003-1742

by 

/kdl